Agency Name:	

Project Information	
Project Name:	
Project Type:	
Agency's Funding Request:	
Funding Recommendation by Reviewer:	
Project Eligibility Threshold Met	Yes/No
Project Application Points Awarded	
Project Application (e-snaps ONLY) Points Awarded	
Total Points	0

Is this renewal project application requesting to consolidate?	YES/NO
Is this renewal project application requesting to expand?	YES/NO; If yes; note new project grant name.

Maximum Points Chart		
Project Type	**Maximum Points Available**	**Maximum Points Available (esnaps only)**
PSH	170	115
RRH	170	115
Joint TH-PH-RRH	170	115

Applicant Eligbility Threshold	Source of Information	Meets Criteria	Points Received	Comments
Is the applicant registered in System of Award Management (SAM.gov)?	Print out of Registration in SAM (Supplemental Information; Evidence must not show debarment, supsension, ineligibility/exclusion)	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)?	Print out from SAM (supplemental Information)	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant have an accounting system and financial management system?	Accounting Procedures (Supplemental Information)	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant participate in the CoC?	NC 504 CoC meeting, subcommittee, and workgroup attendance logs (supplemental information)	Does not participate: enter negative (-5) points Minimally participates 25%-50% in meetings, workgroups, etc.: 1 Participates in 51%-75% in meetings, workgroups, etc.: 2.5 Participates in more than 75% in meetings, workgroups, etc.: 5	5	
Does the applicant actively participates in Coordinated Entry System (HUD-CoC Priorities and Requirements)?	NC-504 CE Attendance Log (Supplemental Information)	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Violence Against Women Act (VAWA): Applicant has clear policies that aligns with the NC-504 Emergency Transfer Plan to ensure confidentiality and compliance with the VAWA, which is a federal law that, in part, provides protections for persons who have experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, or other unsafe conditions to reduce their likelihood of experiencing homelessness.	Supplemental Information	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant indicate if their project is in an Opportunity Zone?	Supplemental Information	For Information Purposes Only		
Did the applicant describe how they intend to ensure substance use treatment is available on-site for program particiants?	Supplemental Information	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
THRESHOLD MET (Projects with points below 30 require a secondary review.)			35	

Project information	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant provide all requested documentation in the required format?	Supplemental Information	5	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	5		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**5**	
Project Performance and Utilization	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Did the applicant submit their previous year's Annual Performance Report (APR) on time?	Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Average Unit Utilization Rate to Date.	APR Q2	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 1 points 80-90%: 2.5 points >90% = 5 points	5	
Length of time between project start date and housing move-in date.	APR Q22c	> 90 days = 0 points 31-89 Days = 2.5 points 30 days or less = 5 points	5	
Total % of participants with a positive exit situation/destination.	APR Q23c	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	20		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**20**	
Project Performance / Participant Services	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased *other* income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased *earned* income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of Adults who retained, gained or increased *other* income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased *earned* Income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of adults who Gained or Increased Number of Non-Cash Benefits from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (*Stayers*, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (*Leavers*, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (*Stayers*, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (*Leavers*, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	40		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**40**	
Project Funding and Performance	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Does the applicant have any CoC Monitoring finding(s) concerning any previous grant term within the last 3 years?	NC-504 Monitoring Report (Supplemental Information)	4 or more: 0 1-3 findings: 5 No findings: 10	10	
Does the applicant have a history of inadequate financial management accounting practices?	Supplemental Information/NC-504 Monitoring Documents	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	10	
Did the applicant submit a budget narrative?	Supplemental Information	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	

Does the overall cost in each budget line item seem reasonable for the full scope of the project proposed? (Unit cost/ Bed cost are based on FMR.)	Budget Summary (Supplemental Information)	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Chronic Underspending: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underspending? *Chronic underspending occurs when a renewal project that has not already been reallocated fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its three most recently closed out grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its second and third completed grant years.*	eLOCCS, APR, CoC Quarterly Spending Report, NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
Chronic Underperformance: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underperformance? *Chronic underperformance occurs when a renewal project fails to serve 90% or more of the projected number of households during each of its three most recently completed grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to serve 85% or more of the projected number of households during each of its first two completed grant years, per its APR. Chronic underperformance can also occur when a renewal project does not consistently meet performance benchmarks in the monitoring process.*	APR and NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	60		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**55**	
Leadership Initiatives	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Applicant described how they involve individuals from a broad range of backgrounds in its Board of Directors, subcommittees, or advisory/program planning groups, and explain how the agency collects input from all persons that may not have traditionally participated in these processes.	Board of Directors List, NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's Board, leadership, and staff have worked to examine and address factors that may contribute to differences in service delivery or outcomes among various populations. Include any efforts to review or revise policies, or to seek training or technical assistance aimed at improving service delivery.	NC 504 Supplemental Information, Agency Training Logs	If YES = 5points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's leadership and staff reflect a broad range of backgrounds and how individuals with relevant firsthand knowledge of homelessness are involved in planning, delivering services or programs.	Organizational Staff list and NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5points If NO = 0 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	30		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**15**	
	TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS	135		
	REVIEWER TOTAL		**135**	

NC-504 Rapid Rehousing (RRH)

Project information	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant provide all requested documentation in the required format?	Supplemental Information	5	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	5		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**5**	

Project Performance and Utilization	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant submit their previous year's Annual Performance Report (APR) on time?	Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Average Unit Utilization Rate to Date.	APR Q2	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 1 points 80-90%: 2.5 points >90% = 5 points	5	
Length of time between project start date and housing move-in date.	APR Q22c	> 90 days = 0 points 31-89 Days = 2.5 points 30 days or less = 5 points	5	
Total % of participants with a positive exit situation/destination.	APR Q23c	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**20**	

Project Performance / Participant Services	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _other_ income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _earned_ income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of Adults who retained, gained or increased _other_ income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _earned_ Income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of adults who Gained or Increased Number of Non-Cash Benefits from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (_Stayers_, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (_Leavers_, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (_Stayers_, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (_Leavers_, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	40		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**40**	

Project Funding and Performance	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Does the applicant have any CoC Monitoring finding(s) concerning any previous grant term within the last 3 years?	NC-504 Monitoring Report (Supplemental Information)	4 or more: 0 1-3 findings: 5 No findings: 10	10	
Did the applicant describe having a history of inadequate financial management accounting practices?	Supplemental Information/NC-504 Monitoring Documents	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	10	

Did the applicant submit a budget narrative?	Supplemental Information	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	
Does the overall cost in each budget line item seem reasonable for the full scope of the project proposed? (Unit cost/ Bed cost are based on FMR.)	Budget Summary (Supplemental Information)	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Chronic Underspending: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underspending? *Chronic underspending occurs when a renewal project that has not already been reallocated fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its three most recently closed out grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its second and third completed grant years.*	eLOCCS, APR, CoC Quarterly Spending Report, NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
Chronic Underperformance: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underperformance? *Chronic underperformance occurs when a renewal project fails to serve 90% or more of the projected number of households during each of its three most recently completed grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to serve 85% or more of the projected number of households during each of its first two completed grant years, per its APR. Chronic underperformance can also occur when a renewal project does not consistently meet performance benchmarks in the monitoring process.*	APR and NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	55		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**55**	
Leadership Initiatives	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Applicant described how they involve individuals from a broad range of backgrounds in its Board of Directors, subcommittees, or advisory/program planning groups, and explain how the agency collects input from all persons that may not have traditionally participated in these processes.	Board of Directors List, NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's Board, leadership, and staff have worked to examine and address factors that may contribute to differences in service delivery or outcomes among various populations. Include any efforts to review or revise policies, or to seek training or technical assistance aimed at improving service delivery.	NC 504 Supplemental Information, Agency Training Logs	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's leadership and staff reflect a broad range of backgrounds and how individuals with relevant firsthand knowledge of homelessness are involved in planning, delivering services or programs.	Organizational Staff list and NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	15		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**15**	
	TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS	135		
	REVIEWER TOTAL		**135**	

Project information	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant provide all requested documentation in the required format?	Supplemental Information	5	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	5		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**5**	
Project Performance and Utilization	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Did the applicant submit their previous year's Annual Performance Report (APR) on time?	Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Average Unit Utilization Rate to Date.	APR Q2	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 1 points 80-90%: 2.5 points >90% = 5 points	5	
Length of time between project start date and housing move-in date.	APR Q22c	> 90 days = 0 points 31-89 Days = 2.5 points 30 days or less = 5 points	5	
Total % of participants with a positive exit situation/destination.	APR Q23c	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	20		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**20**	
Project Performance / Participant Services	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _other_ income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _earned_ income from program entry to annual assessment.	APR Q19a1	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of Adults who retained, gained or increased _other_ income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in income: Total % of adults who retained, gained or increased _earned_ Income from program entry to program exit.	APR Q19a2	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of adults who Gained or Increased Number of Non-Cash Benefits from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (_Stayers_, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (_Leavers_, including those with none at entry).	APR Q20b	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (_Stayers_, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (_Leavers_, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	APR Q21	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 1 points 26-50% = 2.5 points 51-100% = 5 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	40		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**40**	
Project Funding and Performance	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Does the applicant have any CoC Monitoring finding(s) concerning any previous grant term within the last 3 years?	NC-504 Monitoring Report (Supplemental Information)	4 or more: 0 1-3 findings: 5 No findings: 10	10	
Did the applicant describe having a history of inadequate financial management accounting practices?	Supplemental Information/NC-504 Monitoring Documents	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	10	
Did the applicant submit a budget narrative?	Supplemental Information	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	

	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Does the overall cost in each budget line item seem reasonable for the full scope of the project proposed? (Unit cost/ Bed cost are based on FMR.)	Summary Budget/Form	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Chronic Underspending: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underspending? *Chronic underspending occurs when a renewal project that has not already been reallocated fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its three most recently closed out grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its second and third completed grant years.*	eLOCCS, APR, CoC Quarterly Spending Report, NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
Chronic Underperformance: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underperformance? *Chronic underperformance occurs when a renewal project fails to serve 90% or more of the projected number of households during each of its three most recently completed grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to serve 85% or more of the projected number of households during each of its first two completed grant years, per its APR. Chronic underperformance can also occur when a renewal project does not consistently meet performance benchmarks in the monitoring process.*	APR and NC-504 Monitoring Report	If YES = 0 points If NO = 10 points	10	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	60		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**55**	
Leadership Initiatives	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Applicant described how they involve individuals from a broad range of backgrounds in its Board of Directors, subcommittees, or advisory/program planning groups, and explain how the agency collects input from all persons that may not have traditionally participated in these processes.	Board of Directors List, NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's Board, leadership, and staff have worked to examine and address factors that may contribute to differences in service delivery or outcomes among various populations. Include any efforts to review or revise policies, or to seek training or technical assistance aimed at improving service delivery.	NC 504 Supplemental Information, Agency Training Logs	If YES = 5points If NO = 0 points	5	
Applicant described how the agency's leadership and staff reflect a broad range of backgrounds and how individuals with relevant firsthand knowledge of homelessness are involved in planning, delivering services or programs.	Organizational Staff list and NC 504 Supplemental Information	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
	SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS	15		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		**15**	
	TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS	135		
	REVIEWER TOTAL		**135**	

SCORING WILL OCCUR WHEN PROJECT APPLICATIONS ARE AVAILABLE IN e-snaps!
(Subject to change based on project application requirements and availability)

Applicant Eligbility Threshold	Source of Information	Meets Criteria	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant disclose any of violations of Federal criminal law (i.e., the Fair Housing Act or a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing law for discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or familial status; or Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, the Americans with Disabilities Act, or the Violence Against Women Act or substantially equivalent state or local laws).	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
If yes, have you taken one of the following actions to resolve the judgement before the application deadline? (1-5, Current compliance with a voluntary compliance agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a HUD-approved conciliation agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a conciliation agreement signed by all the parties and approved by the state governmental or local administrative agency with jurisdiction over the matter; Current compliance with a consent order or consent decree; or Current compliance with a final judicial ruling or administrative ruling or decision.)	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify they **will not** operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction."?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant have any outstanding HUD Monitoring and/or OIG Audit finding(s) concerning any previous grant term?	Project Application	If YES/N/A= enter negative (-5) points If NO: 10 points	10	
Did the applicant describe the unresolved monitoring or audit findings?	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Did the applicant certify **not to** engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify to following the eligbility criteria for program participants outlined in Section III.G.10 of the NOFO?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant cerify the project will comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of housing quality and habitability?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
SECTION POINTS		40		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			40	

Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s) and Other Partners	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
SECTION POINTS		20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			10	

Project Information	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant document required match of no less that 25% of total assistance requested for this project (excluding leasing costs)?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Will funds requested in this renewal project application replace state or local government funds (24 CFR 578.87 (a))?	Project Application	If YES = 0 points If NO = 5 points	5	
Does the applicant draw funds quarterly for your current renewal project?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = enter negative five (-5) points	5	
If no was selected, did the applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were not drawn quarterly.	Project Application	If response to above questions is YES, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Have any funds remained available for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request?	Project Application	If YES = enter negative ten (-10) points If NO = 10 points	10	
If yes was selected, did applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were available for recapture by HUD.	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Is the applicant, or subrecipient, a victim service provider as defined in 24 CFR 578.3?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
Did the applicant provide a description of the project that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	

	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Subpopulations: Did applicant identify all populations they intend to serve?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
Is this project 100% Dedicated or Dedicated/Dedicated PLUS? (Definitions are under question)	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		40		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**35**	
Housing and Supportive Services	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
For all supportive services available to program participants, did the applicant indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant transportation assistance to program participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Does the applicant conduct annual follow-up with program participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Do program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the project applicant, subrecipient, or partner agency?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**20**	
Housing Type and Location	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**		**Comments**
Did the applicant specify the housing type/structures including maximum number of Units and Beds available for program participants at the selected housing site?	Project Application	Yes =5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant report a higher number of units and beds than the number of households (units), and persons (beds)?	Project Application	If Yes = 0 points If No = 5 points	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**10**	
TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS		100		
REVIEWER TOTAL			**115**	

SCORING WILL OCCUR WHEN PROJECT APPLICATIONS ARE AVAILABLE IN e-snaps!
(Subject to change based on project application requirements and availability)

Applicant Eligbility Threshold	Source of Information	Meets Criteria	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant disclose any of violations of Federal criminal law (i.e., the Fair Housing Act or a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing law for discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or familial status; or Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, the Americans with Disabilities Act, or the Violence Against Women Act or substantially equivalent state or local laws).	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
If yes, have you taken one of the following actions to resolve the judgement before the application deadline? (1-5, Current compliance with a voluntary compliance agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a HUD-approved conciliation agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a conciliation agreement signed by all the parties and approved by the state governmental or local administrative agency with jurisdiction over the matter; Current compliance with a consent order or consent decree; or Current compliance with a final judicial ruling or administrative ruling or decision.)	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify they **will not** operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction."?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant have any HUD Monitoring and/or OIG Audit finding(s) concerning any previous grant term?	Project Application	If YES/N/A= enter negative (-5) points If NO: 10 points	10	
Did the applicant describe the unresolved monitoring or audit findings?	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Did the applicant certify **not to** engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify to following the eligibility criteria for program participants outlined in Section III.G.10 of the NOFO?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant cerify the project will comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of housing quality and habitability?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
	SECTION POINTS	40		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		40	
Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s) and Other Partners	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
	SECTION POINTS	20		
	REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL		10	
Project Information	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
Did the applicant document required match of no less that 25% of total assistance requested for this project (excluding leasing costs)?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Will funds requested in this renewal project application replace state or local government funds (24 CFR 578.87 (a))?	Project Application	If YES = 0 points If NO = 5 points	5	
Does the applicant draw funds quarterly for your current renewal project?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
If no was selected, did the applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were not drawn quarterly.	Project Application	If response to above questions is YES, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Have any funds remained available for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request?	Project Application	If YES = enter negative ten (-10) points If NO = 10 points	10	
If yes was selected, did applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were available for recapture by HUD.	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	

Is the applicant, or subrecipient, a victim service provider as defined in 24 CFR 578.3?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
Did the applicant provide a description of the project that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	
Subpopulations: Did applicant identify all populations they intend to serve?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		50		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**35**	
Housing and Supportive Services	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
For all supportive services available to program participants, did the applicant indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant transportation assistance to program participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Does the applicant conduct annual follow-up with program participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Do program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the project applicant, subrecipient, or partner agency?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**20**	
Housing Type and Location	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**		**Comments**
Did the applicant specify the housing type/structures including maximum number of Units and Beds available for program participants at the selected housing site?	Project Application	Yes =5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant report a higher number of units and beds than the number of households (units), and persons (beds)?	Project Application	If Yes = 0 points If No = 5 points	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		10		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**10**	
TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS		140		
REVIEWER TOTAL			**115**	

SCORING WILL OCCUR WHEN PROJECT APPLICATIONS ARE AVAILABLE IN e-snaps!
(Subject to change based on project application requirements and availability)

Applicant Eligbility Threshold	Source of Information	Meets Criteria	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant disclose any of violations of Federal criminal law (i.e., the Fair Housing Act or a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing law for discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or familial status; or Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, the Americans with Disabilities Act, or the Violence Against Women Act or substantially equivalent state or local laws).	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
If yes, have you taken one of the following actions to resolve the judgement before the application deadline? (1-5, Current compliance with a voluntary compliance agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a HUD-approved conciliation agreement signed by all the parties; Current compliance with a conciliation agreement signed by all the parties and approved by the state governmental or local administrative agency with jurisdiction over the matter; Current compliance with a consent order or consent decree; or Current compliance with a final judicial ruling or administrative ruling or decision.)	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify they **will not** operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction."?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Does the applicant have any HUD Monitoring and/or OIG Audit finding(s) concerning any previous grant term?	Project Application	If YES/N/A= enter negative (-5) points If NO: 10 points	10	
Did the applicant describe the unresolved monitoring or audit findings?	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Did the applicant certify **not to** engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant certify to following the eligibility criteria for program participants outlined in Section III.G.10 of the NOFO?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
Did the applicant cerify the project will comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of housing quality and habitability?	Project Application	YES: 5 NO: 0	5	
		SECTION POINTS	40	
		REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL	40	

Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s) and Other Partners	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
Did the applicant describe the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 1 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 5	5	
		SECTION POINTS	20	
		REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL	10	

Project Information	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Did the applicant agency document required match of no less that 25% of total assistance requested for this project (excluding leasing costs)?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
Will funds requested in this renewal project application replace state or local government funds (24 CFR 578.87 (a))?	Project Application	If YES = 0 points If NO = 5 points	5	
Does the applicant draw funds quarterly for your current renewal project?	Project Application	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	5	
If no was selected, did the applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were not drawn quarterly.	Project Application	If response to above questions is YES, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	
Have any funds remained available for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request?	Project Application	If YES = enter negative ten (-10) points If NO = 10 points	10	
If yes was selected, did applicant provide a reasonable explanation for why CoC funds were available for recapture by HUD.	Project Application	If response to above questions is NO, enter zero (0) Adequately addresses expectations/requirements: 5 points	0	

	Source of Information	Allowable Points	Points Received	Comments
Is the applicant, or subrecipient, a victim service provider as defined in 24 CFR 578.3?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
Did the applicant provide a description of the project that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project?	Project Application	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10	10	
Subpopulations: Did applicant identify all populations they intend to serve?	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		45		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**35**	
Housing and Supportive Services	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**	**Points Received**	**Comments**
For all supportive services available to program participants, did the applicant indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant transportation assistance to program participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Does the applicant conduct annual follow-up with program participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
Do program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the project applicant, subrecipient, or partner agency?	Project Application	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		20		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**20**	
Housing Type and Location	**Source of Information**	**Allowable Points**		**Comments**
Did the applicant provide the funding source for all units and beds available (transitional and/or rapid rehousing) for program participants at the selected housing type and location? *To ensure capacity to meet this requirement, the CoC Program requires that Joint TH and PH-RRH applications propose at least twice as many PH-RRH units & beds as TH units & beds.*	Project Application	For Information Purposes Only		
Did the applicant specify the housing type/structures including maximum number of Units and Beds available for program participants at the selected housing site?	Project Application	Yes =5 No = 0	5	
Did the applicant report a higher number of units and beds than the number of households (units), and persons (beds)?	Project Application	If Yes = 0 points If No = 5 points	5	
SECTION ALLOWABLE POINTS		30		
REVIEWER SECTION TOTAL			**10**	
TOTAL ALLOWABLE POINTS		115		
REVIEWER TOTAL			**115**	